When I converted my cataract surgery practice entirely to the use of the clear corneal technique under topical anesthesia in early 1992, I did so because I quickly embraced its advantages. The technique eliminated a number of steps in scleral surgery such as traction sutures, conjunctival incisions, scleral cautery, and conjunctival closure. I performed all surgery from a temporal incision. Because I was already creating 3-mm sutureless incisions for foldable IOLs, the transition was easy for me.

When it was first introduced, clear corneal surgery excited the ophthalmic community and gained widespread popularity. There were also early reports of wound leaks, endophthalmitis, and other surgical complications, however. This news was contrary to my own experience of fewer complications and zero cases of endophthalmitis in 15 years of clear corneal surgery. In recent years, new reports of leaking wounds, hypotonous eyes, and increased rates of endophthalmitis have surfaced and fueled a re-examination of clear corneal techniques.

This article discusses some of the issues ophthalmologists need to consider when performing clear corneal surgery, such as the materials currently used to produce ophthalmic blades, their effect on the incisions made, and the related surgical outcomes.

MOVING TO CLEAR CORNEAL INCISIONS
To successfully transition to clear corneal incisions, the surgeon must closely examine the wound’s placement, architecture, sealing, and healing. Regarding the creation of the corneal tunnel, temporal incisions of 3 mm or less that are square (or nearly square) are the most stable and refractively neutral (Figure 1).

WHY USE DIAMOND KNIVES?
I had extensive experience with RK surgery with corneal wounds and subsequent healing. History is often our best teacher, and it made sense to me to look at my RK experience when moving my cataract surgery incisions from the sclera into the clear cornea. I could easily apply the lessons I learned from my days of RK. Accuracy, reproducibility, and wound healing are paramount in generating successful results with corneal incisions. All three of these areas showed significant improvement when the incisions were made using dia-
mond versus steel blades. For these reasons, I transi-
tioned directly to diamond knives when I started
doing clear corneal cataract surgery. I collaborated
with Ron Dykes of Diamatrix in The Woodlands, Texas,
to create the first trapezoidal blade to reduce the
stretching of the wound. I believe that the diamond
blade and the new knife designs of knives are signifi-
cant factors in the excellent surgical results I have
achieved. Because the type of blade surgeons use to
create clear corneal incisions is important for surgical
outcomes, they should consider comparing blade
materials, manufacturing techniques, and their effects
upon the wound's creation before choosing a knife.

BLADES FOR MICROSURGERY
Materials and Manufacturing Techniques

During the past several decades, manufacturers have
used a variety of materials, including diamond, sap-
phire, black diamond, ceramic composites, and stain-
less steel, and techniques to produce microsurgical
blades. Here are some observations that I have made.

Sharpness

When diamonds or other crystals (sap-
phire, ruby, etc.) are used for surgical
blades, two manufacturing techniques are
employed. The first is honing or lapping
technology that was developed and is pri-
marily used in the US and Europe. With this
technology, the harder the material, the
sharper the blade. Diamond is the hardest
material known to man and therefore pro-
duces the sharpest edge (Table 1). Diamond
blades also are produced using lasers and
acid to etch the edges. This process was
developed in Russia and is
more economical than the
lapping technology. The
major drawback with the
cheaper technique is that
surgeons cannot maintain
the blades themselves and
must replace them if they
become damaged. Both tech-
niques produce an exquisi-
tely sharp edge. The blade's
sharpness, in my experience,
has obvious benefits; a sharpedger blade gives the surgeon
more control, reduces trau-
ma to the tissue, and creates
more reproducible incisions.

Black diamond blades are not made of true dia-
monds or a synthetic diamond-like material. They are
the product of the deposition of chemical vapor. This
technique, along with the material used in the manu-
facturing process, produces a jagged versus a honed
edge (Figure 2) that incises the cornea with a sawing
action rather than the cleaving action of diamond
blades.

The quality of the materials and the techniques used
to produce stainless steel microsurgical blades have
improved the design and sharpness of these devices,
but there is still some variation among models and
manufacturers. These variations can be troublesome
and potentially hazardous in surgery. As demonstrated
by the Mohs Scale of Hardness (Table 1),5 stainless
steel lacks the primary attribute that enables a blade
to have a superior edge. There is no doubt that the lat-
est technology, coining and chemical etching, pro-
duces a far superior blade than grinding. Most of the
blades on the market today are produced using this
process. Regardless of the grade of stainless steel or

| TABLE 1. THE MOHS SCALE OF HARDNESS CONSISTS OF 10 CLASSIFICATIONS |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Hardness† | Mineral or Substance | Absolute Hardness |
| 6 | Stainless steel | 72 |
| 8 | Hardened steel | 200 |
| 9 | Corundum (sapphire, ruby, etc.) | 400 |
| 10 | Diamond | 1,500 |

† 1 is the softest, and 10 is the hardest.
the manufacturing technique, stainless steel will not produce a blade as sharp as a diamond keratome.

Currently, manufacturers are developing ceramics and ceramic composites that may or may not equal the sharpness of a diamond blade’s edge. In the past, these materials were limited because they could not be easily formed into blades for microsurgery.

Penetration
The hardness or rigidity of the material used in a microsurgical blade can affect the knife’s mass, which in turn can affect its penetration. As the hardest material, diamond lends itself to producing thin blades (less mass) while retaining rigidity. A diamond blade is limited, however, because it requires wider facets to produce an edge than coined metal does. Diamond blades are between 150 and 200 µm thick. Because coined metal blades are relatively soft, their minimum thickness is approximately 150 µm. Until a new material is developed, the 150-µm threshold applies to most blades. We must rely upon geometry to create a better penetrating blade. Here again, diamonds have an advantage over other materials. Because of a diamond blade’s sharper edge, the angle of attack need not be as acute. Metal blades compensate for being less sharp by producing a more acute angle, which requires a longer blade. In other words, the shorter diamond blade does not enter the anterior chamber as far as a metal knife. The former therefore has a lesser chance of damaging adjacent ocular structures and has a greater margin of safety.

A blade’s geometry not only affects the knife’s penetration but also the incision’s geometry. While working with Mr. Dykes to design a blade that met my requirements, I developed the 2.7- X 3.2-mm trapezoidal large-bevel-up-small-bevel-down design (Figure 3). This blade’s geometry creates a smaller internal and a larger external incision. With this design, the wider external incision produces an oarlock effect that reduces striae during cataract removal and facilitates the introduction of instruments into the anterior chamber. The smaller internal incision promotes a more secure wound and a stable anterior chamber. The beveled design creates a self-planning and self-sealing incision. I continue to use this design today, although I have reduced the blades’ dimensions to 1.9 X 2.5 mm.

Cost Effectiveness of Different Materials
In today’s climate of reduced reimbursements, the cost per case becomes a paramount issue for a practice’s profitability. If the features and benefits of all blades were equal (which they are not), surgeons’ choice of tools could be based solely on their cost per case. Using this premise and making some assumptions, one can look at the numbers for a keratome. The cost of a diamond keratome $2,800 X 3 = $8,400. An average yearly repair costs $1,200. The total cost for the first year is $9,600. An average of 2,000 cataract surgeries per year brings the cost per case for the first year to $4.80 and $0.60 per case for the following years. A premium single-use metal keratome prices at $28. If one can use this type of blade three times, the average cost per case is $9.30. A metal blade’s performance is usually impaired if it is used more than three times.

A surgeon who uses metal blades can potentially lose $9,000 in the first year and $17,400 for each year thereafter. This same case can be made for blades made of other materials, which would yield similar results. The fact remains that “diamonds are forever.”

CONCLUSION
In the final analysis, it is the musician, not the music, that determines the level of excellence. Surgeons should choose a blade design or material that works best for you and your patient. For me, it is still diamond. ■
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